

# OFFICIAL GAZETTE



## GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Note:- There are Four Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II, No. 31 dated 2-11-2000 as follows:-

- 1) Extraordinary dated 2-11-2000 from pages 901 to 914 regarding Notification from Department of Elections (Goa State Election Commission).
- 2) Extraordinary No. 2 dated 6-11-2000 from pages 915 to 916 regarding Notification from Department of Elections (Goa State Election Commission).
- 3) Extraordinary No. 3 dated 8-11-2000 from pages 917 to 918 regarding Notification from Department of Panchayati Raj and Community Development (Directorate of Panchayats).
- 4) Extraordinary No. 4 dated 8-11-2000 from pages 919 to 920 regarding Notification from Department of General Administration.

### GOVERNMENT OF GOA

#### Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Coop. Societies

#### Order

No. 62/1/94-MR/MKT/RCS/157

Read:- 1. Government Order No. 6/9/91-PER dated 15-9-2000.  
2. Government Order No. 6/9/91-PER dated 18-9-2000.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 15A of the Maharashtra Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1963 (Act No. XX of 1964) as amended and as in force in the State of Goa, Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri C. J. Kamble, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Government of Goa, Panaji as an Administrator of the Goa Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Arlem Raia, Salcete-Goa in place of Shri D. C. Sahoo, Ex-Registrar of Coop. Societies until further orders.

Shri C. J. Kamble shall assume the office of the Administrator of the Goa Agricultural Produce Market Committee in addition to his own duties.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Archana Arora, Secretary (Co-operation).

Panaji, 19th October, 2000.

#### Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Technical Education

#### Order

No. 8/4/54/2000-DTE/3073

Read: Memorandum No. 8/34/86-EDN/2525 dated 14-9-2000.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/6(2)/94 dated 9th August, 2000, Government is pleased to appoint Smt. Maria M. D'Cruz Ferreira, on temporary basis to the post of Lecturer on Applied Art, Goa College of Art, Altinho-Panaji on an initial pay of Rs. 8000-275-13,500/- w.e.f. the date of joining the post as per the terms and conditions contained in the memorandum cited above.

Smt. Maria M. D'Cruz Ferreira will be on probation for a period of two years.

She should join the duties within 30 days of the receipt of this order, failing which this order is liable to be cancelled without further notice.

The appointment is further subject to verification of character and antecedents.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. K. Sharma, Director of Technical Education and Additional Secretary.

Porvorim, 25th October, 2000.

#### Department of Finance

Directorate of Accounts

#### Order

No. DA/Admn/46-3(2)/2000-01/TR-1741/98

Office Order No. 997

Read: Notice of Voluntary Retirement dt. 20-9-2000 of Shri Jayant V. Nigalye, Jt. D.A.

Notice of Voluntary Retirement from Government service tendered under V.R.S. (Scheme) by Shri Jayant

V. Nigalye, Jt. Director of Accounts, presently working in the office of the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Panaji vide his application dated 20-9-2000 and which has been approved by the Committee appointed by the Government is hereby accepted with effect from 1-11-2000 (B. N.) by curtailing the notice period of 3 months. However, he will not be able to commute his pension before the expiry of 3 months i. e. upto 19-12-2000.

Shri Nigalye, Jt. D. A. shall stand relieved with effect from 1-11-2000 (B. N.).

B. K. Warriar, Director of Accounts & Ex-Officio Jt. Secretary.

Panaji, 23rd October, 2000.

**Order**

No. DA/Admn/45-8/2000-01/TR-1798/103

Read: Order No. DA/Admn/45-2/99-2000/TR-1534/  
/109 dated 15-10-1999.

The term of deputation of Shri Damodar J. Naik, Accounts Officer of the Common Accounts Cadre presently functioning as Accounts Officer in the Goa Forest Development Corporation Ltd., Ponda is hereby extended for the further period of one year from 29-10-2000 to 28-10-2001 for the second year on the same terms and conditions.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. K. Warriar, Director of Accounts & Ex-Officio Jt. Secretary.

Panaji, 30th October, 2000.

**Department of Irrigation**

**Corrigendum**

No. 3/1/53/91-IRRG/846

Read: Order No. 3/1/53/91-IRRG/811 dated  
20-10-2000.

In the Government order referred to above, the date appearing in the second para of the last line may be read as "16/12/2000 (F.N.)" instead of "15-12-2000 (A. N.)".

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. D. Sayanak, Chief Engineer (Irrigation) & Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary.

Panaji, 1st November, 2000.

**Department of Labour**

Office of the Commissioner of Labour

**Order**

CL/Pub-Awards/2000/4244

The following Award dated 6-7-2000 in Reference No. IT/12/2000 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 24th August, 2000.

**IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL  
GOVERNMENT OF GOA  
AT PANAJI**

**(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)**

Ref. No. IT/12/2000

Shri Suresh K. Naik,  
House No. 742,  
Guirdolim,  
Chandor-Goa.

— Workman/Party I

V/s

Shri Atmaram S. M. Kundaikar,  
Hardware Dealer,  
Margao-Goa.

— Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I - Absent.

Employer/Party II - represented by Shri V. G. Shanbhag.

Panaji, dated: 6-7-2000.

**AWARD**

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 11th February, 2000, bearing No. IRM/CON/SG/(54)/99/796 referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

"Whether the action of the management of M/s Atmaram S. M. Kundaikar, Margao, in terminating the services of Shri Suresh K. Naik, Helper, with effect from 20-9-1999, is legal and justified?"

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference a case was registered under No. IT/12/2000 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. Both the parties were served with the said notice. The case was fixed for filing of the statement of claim by the workman/Party I (for short, "Workman") on 3-4-2000 at 10.30 a. m. However, inspite of being served with the notice the workman remained absent. The Employer/Party II (for short,

"Employer") was represented by Shri V. G. Shanbhag and he filed the letter of authority issued in his favour by the employer. Since the workman had remained absent another opportunity was given to him to file his statement of claim and the case was adjourned to 2-6-2000 for filing of the statement of claim by the workman. However, on this date also the workman remained absent whereas Shri V.G. Shanbhag remained present on behalf of the Party II. Shri V. G. Shanbhag submitted that since the workman has not participated in the proceedings inspite of the opportunity given, the reference may be answered against the workman.

3. The reference of the dispute was made by the Government at the instance of the workman since he challenged the action of the employer in terminating his services w.e.f. 20-9-1999. It is the workman who had raised the industrial dispute. The Bombay High Court, Panaji Bench, in the case of V.N.S. Engg. Services v/s Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu and another reported in FJR Vol. 71 at page 393 has held that the obligation to lead the evidence to establish an allegation made by a party is on the party making an allegation, the test being that he who does not lead evidence must fail. The Bombay High Court has further held that the provision of Rule 10-B of the Industrial Disputes Act which requires the party raising a dispute to file a statement of demands relating to the issues in the order of reference for adjudication within 15 days from the receipt of the order of reference and forward copies to the opposite party involved, clearly indicates that the party who raises the industrial dispute is bound to prove contention raised by him and Industrial Tribunal or Labour Court would be erring in placing the burden of proof on the other party to the dispute. In another case i.e. in the case of V.K. Raj Industries v/s Labour Court (I) and others reported in 1981 (29)FLR 194, the Allahabad High Court has held that the proceedings before the Industrial Court are judicial in nature even though the Indian Evidence Act is not applicable to the proceedings before the Industrial Court, but the principles underlying the said Act are applicable. The High Court has further held that it is well settled that if a party challenges the validity of an order, the burden lies on him to prove the illegality of the order and if no evidence is produced the party invoking the jurisdiction must fail. The High Court has also held that if the workman fails to appear or to file written statement or produce evidence, the dispute referred by the Government cannot be answered in favour of the workman and he will not be entitled to any relief.

4. In the present case the dispute was raised by the workman as regards termination of services by the employer which according to him is illegal and unjustified and since it was at his instance that the reference of the dispute was made by the Government, the burden was on the workman to prove that the action of the employer in terminating his service was illegal and unjustified. The workman was given sufficient opportunity to appear before this Tribunal and file his statement of claim but the workman did not appear and consequently no statement of claim was filed on his behalf. There is no material before me to

hold that the action of the employer in terminating his services is illegal and unjustified. I, therefore, hold that the workman has failed to prove that the action of the employer in terminating his services w.e.f. 20-9-1999 is not legal and justified. The reference has to be answered against the workman holding termination of his services as legal and justified.

In the circumstances, I pass the following order.

#### ORDER

It is hereby held that the action of the management of M/s Atmaram S. M. Kundaikar, Margao, in terminating the services of Shri Suresh K. Naik, helper, with effect from 20-9-1999, is legal and justified. I further hold that Mr. Suresh K. Naik is not entitled to any relief.

No order as to costs. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-  
(AJIT J. AGNI),  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal.

#### Order

No. CL/Pub-Awards/2000/5148

The following Award dated 14-9-2000 in reference No. IT/31/97 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour & Ex-Officio  
Joint Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 13th October, 2000.

#### IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref No. IT/31/97

Workmen rep. by  
Goa Trade & Commercial Workers Union,  
Velho Building, Panaji -Goa. — Workmen/Party I

V/s

M/s Everex Stamping Pvt. Ltd.,  
Work Plot No.11 &13,  
Electronic City, Verna,  
Salcete-Goa. — Employer/Party II

Party I/Workmen-Represented by Adv. Raju  
Mangueshkar.

Party II/Employer-Represented by Adv. Shri G. K.  
Sardessai.

Panaji, dated: 14-9-2000.

AWARD - (PART II)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 24th April, 1997 bearing No. IRM/CON/SG/(20)/96/2242 referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

(1) "Whether the action of the management of M/s Everex Stamping Pvt. Limited, Verna-Goa, in refusing employment to the following 15 workers amounts to lockout?

- |                       |                         |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| (1) Leandro Fernandes | (9) Camilo Fernandes    |
| (2) Surat R Naik      | (10) Gopi D. Naik       |
| (3) Harischandra K.   | (11) Sangeeta K. Naik   |
| (4) Cashmiro Mendes   | (12) Sangeeta Naik      |
| (5) George Alvares    | (13) Shyamal N. Naik    |
| (6) Anjana Tari       | (14) Sheik Mohd. Nanief |
| (7) Marcelina Almeida | (15) Ritesh Shirodkar.  |
| (8) Vasanti K. Gauns  |                         |

(2) Whether the demand of the workmen for full wages with effect from 1.2.1996 till they are provided employment in the factory is legal and justified ?

(3) What relief, if any, the above fifteen workers are entitled to, pending adjudication and final disposal of this industrial dispute ?

(4) What relief, if any the workmen are entitled towards medical care and medical expenses, they having fallen out of the benefit period on account of stoppage of payment of employer's and employee's contribution to Employee's State Insurance benefits.

2. On receipt of the reference a case was registered under No. II/31/97 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice the Workmen-Party I (for short, "Union") filed statement of claim at Exb.4. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the Union are that the Employer-Party II (for short, "Employer") is a Proprietary concern and deals in the business of manufacturing fan blades at Verna Industrial Estate and is having its registered office at Rani Pramila Building, Panaji, Goa. That the workers of the employer on 11th December 1995 decided to join the union namely the Goa Trade & Commercial Workers Union. That the employer was informed by the Union vide letter dated 14th December 1995 about the fact of the workers becoming the members of the said union but the employer refused to accept the said letter. That thereafter by letter dated 27-12-95 the union raised charter of demands against the employer and since then the employer started harassing the workers and demanded that they should resign from the membership of the union. That in order to harass the workers the employer locked out the factory from 20th January 1996 and since inspite of the request from the union not to lock out the factory, the employer did not do so, the union raised industrial dispute before the Dy. Labour Commissioner, Margao. That the employer thereafter issued a closure notice stating that the establishment will be closed w.e.f. 31-1-96 and the copy of the said notice was sent to the Dy. Labour Commissioner,

Margao. That the union thereafter raised dispute about the notice of closure. That the Dy. Labour Commissioner, Margao held conciliation proceedings in the matter of lockout and termination of services of 14 workmen (for short, "Workmen") who are parties to the present reference. That the conciliation proceedings ended in failure since none appeared on behalf of the employer before the Dy. Labour Commissioner, Margao. That by letter dated 1-11-96 the union brought to the notice of the Labour Commissioner the irregularities committed by the employer at his factory at Verna and it was also brought to his notice that the employer had recruited new workers at the factory and the production has been already started w.e.f. 22-10-96 with the help of the said new recruited workers. That the Labour Commissioner by letter dated 6-11-96 informed the parties to attend the conciliation proceedings and though the employer requested for time to file the written statement before the Labour Commissioner, no written statement came to be filed and thus the matter remained unresolved. The union stated that there was no factual closure of the establishment on the part of the employer and therefore the action of the employer in locking out the workmen and refusing employment to them is illegal and unjustified. The union therefore prayed that it be held that (a) refusing of employment to the workmen by the employer amounts to lock out; (b) the employer be directed to pay full wages to the workmen w.e.f. 1-2-96 till they are provided employment in the factory; (c) the action of the management in refusing employment to the workmen and the employing of new workers in their place is illegal and unjustified.

3. The employer filed written statement at Exb. 5. By way of preliminary objection the employer stated that the reference is null and void as no industrial dispute existed. The employer denied that there was lock out as claimed by the union and stated that the workmen unilaterally and without any reason resorted to wilful go slow from 2-1-96 as a result of which the production was reduced considerably to the level of 50% of the normal and by notice dated 15-1-96 the workers were informed that above said act on their part amounted to misconduct and they were called upon to show cause and explain why disciplinary action should not be taken against them. The employer stated that inspite of the said notice the workmen continued to resort to go slow tactics and therefore by another notice dated 19-1-96 the workmen were advised to ensure normal production failing which disciplinary action will be taken against them. The employer stated that inspite of the said notice the workmen continued with their act of go slow. Therefore another notice dated 21-1-96 was given to them advising them to restore normal productivity. The employer stated that since the workmen continued with their illegal act and there was also a spate of violent activities and threat to managerial and supervisory staff, the management had no option but to take a decision to close the factory and therefore by means of closure notice the workmen were informed that the management decided to close the operations of the factory from 30-1-96. The employer denied their workers are the members of Goa Trade & Commercial Workers Union or that the management started harassing the workers and pressurised them to resign from

the membership of the union. The employer stated that the Dy. Labour Commissioner was informed that closure cannot be a subject matter of industrial dispute and therefore requested him to treat the matter as closed. The employer denied that any new workers were recruited or that the production commenced from 20-2-96 as claimed by the union. The employer denied that there was no factual closure of the factory. The employer stated that the services of the workmen were terminated on account of closure and there was no new recruitment as alleged. The employer denied that the workmen are entitled to any relief as claimed. The union therefore filed rejoinder at Exb.6. On the pleadings of the parties issues were framed at Exb.7 and thereafter the case was fixed for the evidence of the union. Before the evidence was recorded the employer filed an application dated 8.10.99 stating that workman Ms. Sangeeta Naik had submitted her resignation vide letter dated 25.1.96 and she had received the compensation in full and final settlement of her claim and had also accepted the closure. In the said application it was also stated that as regards workman Mr. Camilo Fernandes, he had also received the compensation in full and final settlement of his claim and he had also accepted the closure. The employer annexed along with the said application the certified true copy of the resignation letter given by Ms. Sangeeta Naik and the receipt dated 25-1-96 acknowledging the receipt of the compensation. The employer also annexed the certified true copy of the receipt given by the workman Mr. Camilo Fernandes in acknowledgement of the receipt of the compensation received by him in full and final settlement of his claim. The employer prayed that no dispute award be passed in respect of the above said workmen. The notice of the said application was given to the union who gave no objection to the passing of the award in respect of the above said workmen.

4. I have gone through the resignation letter dated 25.1.96 given by the workman Ms. Sangeeta Naik and the receipt given by her as well as by Mr. Camilo Fernandes. In the receipts it has been clearly mentioned by both the workmen that they have accepted the amounts mentioned in the said receipts in full and final settlement of all their claims and that they are accepting the factum of closure and further that they have no dispute whatsoever. Since the above said workmen admitted that their claims are settled and that they have no dispute whatsoever with the employer, the dispute does not exist and consequently the reference does not survive. In the circumstances, I pass the following order.

#### ORDER

It is hereby held that the reference made by the Government in respect of the workmen Ms. Sangeeta Naik and Mr. Camilo Fernandes does not survive as the dispute does not exist.

No order as to costs. Inform the Government accordingly.

(AJIT J AGNI),  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal.

## Department of Law & Judiciary

### Establishment Division

#### Order

No. 1/24/84-LD (P.F.I)

Read: Government Order No. 1-24-84/LD (Estt.) dated 25-6-1987.

Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri Anil G. Halarnekar, advocate, Panaji on the panel of advocates constituted vide above mentioned Government order dated 25-6-1987, as a Government Counsel in the District Courts and Subordinate Courts in the State of Goa under the terms and conditions as prescribed by Government of Goa vide O. M. No. 1-24-84/LD dated 25-6-1987.

He will be entitled to receive his fees as per the terms and conditions contained in O. M. of even number dated 25-6-1987 as revised from time to time on submission of bills (in duplicate) to the department attaching therewith the Attendance Certificate issued by the respective courts. He should comply with the instructions contained in Government Circular No. 4-43-99/LD dated 4-5-2000. Shri Halarnekar has given an undertaking that he will appear in the District and Sessions Courts as well as Subordinate courts at places like Mapusa, Bicholim, Ponda, Quepem, etc. and he will not claim any TA/DA.

The allotment of briefs of the cases will be done by this Department.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. V. Pednekar, Under Secretary (Law).

Panaji, 23rd October, 2000.

#### Notification

No. 5-40-2000/LD-Estt.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) read with Rule 7 of the Notaries Rules, 1956, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri Subhash Pundalik Sawant, as a Notary for a period of five years in Tiswadi Taluka with effect from 12-10-2000.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. V. Pednekar, Under Secretary (Law).

Panaji, 12th October, 2000.

#### Notification

No. 5-40-2000/LD-Estt.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) read with Rule 7 of the Notaries Rules, 1956, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri Govind

Usno Bhobe as a Notary for a period of five years in the Judicial Division of Tiswadi Taluka with effect from 24-10-2000.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. V. Pednekar, Under Secretary (Law).

Panaji, 24th October, 2000.

#### Notification

No. 5/40/2000-LD-(Estt.)

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) read with Rule 7 of the Notaries Rules, 1956, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Mrs. Winnie Coutinho as a Notary for a period of five years in the Judicial Division of Tiswadi Taluka with effect from 24-10-2000.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. V. Pednekar, Under Secretary (Law).

Panaji, 24th October, 2000.

#### Notification

No. 5/40/2000-LD-(Estt.)

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) read with Rule 7 of the Notaries Rules, 1956, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri Nishakant Suryaji Shinde as a Notary for a period of five years in the Judicial Division of Bardez Taluka with effect from 24-10-2000.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. V. Pednekar, Under Secretary (Law).

Panaji, 24th October, 2000.

#### Department of Personnel

#### Order

No. 7-5-99/PER

Read: 1. Order No. 7-5-99/PER dated 25/8/2000.

2. Order No. 7-5-99/PER dated 21/9/2000.

Consequent upon promotion of Ms. Archana Arora to Supertime Scale of IAS vide Govt. of India, Ministry of Home Affairs' order No. 14016/19/2000-UTS dated

21-8-2000, the following arrangement is made for adjusting officers in the following posts:-

Sr. No.	Name and designation of the officer	Post against which pay is adjusted.
1.	Shri Vijay S. Madan, Commissioner & Secretary (Industries & Power).	Commissioner & Secretary (Revenue & Labour).
2.	Ms. Archana Arora, Commissioner & Secretary (Revenue).	Commissioner & Secretary (Finance).
3.	Dr. A. K. Ambasht, Resident Commissioner, Goa Sadan.	Resident Commissioner, Goa Sadan, New Delhi. He shall draw his own pay & allowances in the grade of Dy. Conservator of Forests.

2. The arrangements made earlier for showing the officers against the posts shall stand modified to this extent.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 24th October, 2000.

#### Order

No. 5/33/84-PER

Government is pleased to post Shri G. P. Chimulkar, Junior Administrative Grade Officer of Goa Civil Service as Joint Secretary to the Chief Minister with effect from 25-10-2000 (FN).

Shri Chimulkar shall draw his salary against the vacant post of Joint Secretary included in the Goa Civil Service Cadre.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 25th October, 2000.

#### Order

No. 6/3/81-PER (Vol. VIII)

Shri P. S. Reddy, Director of Industries & Mines, shall hold the charge of the post of Commissioner of Excise in addition to his own duties, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 31st October, 2000.

**Order**

No. 6/3/81-PER (Vol. VIII) (A)

Governor is pleased to appoint with immediate effect Shri Ashok Kumar, IAS, as Managing Director, Goa Economic Development Corporation, in addition to his own duties as Development Commissioner/Finance Secretary to Government, until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*D. M. Borkar*, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 31st October, 2000.

◆◆◆

**Department of Public Health****Order**

No. 25/5/2000-I/PHD

Whereas Dr. Shanilo Fernandes, Health Officer, Malaria and other Vectorborne Diseases Control Programme, Panaji under Directorate of Health Services has given 3 months notice dated 1-8-2000 for Voluntary retirement under Rule 48 (1) (a) of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 and under Voluntary Retirement Scheme.

And whereas Dr. Shanilo Fernandes has applied that the notice of his Voluntary Retirement be accepted with effect from 1-11-2000 (F.N.).

Now, therefore, the Governor of Goa in his capacity as the appointing authority hereby accepts the said notice and directs that Dr. Shanilo Fernandes, Health Officer under the Directorate of Health Services, Panaji shall stand retired with effect from 1-11-2000 (F.N.).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*T. J. Faleiro*, Joint Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 19th October, 2000.

◆◆◆

**Order**

No. 25/5/2000-I/PHD

Whereas Dr. K. N. Dabale, Medical Officer, Community Health Centre, Valpoi under Directorate of Health Services has given 3 months notice from 1-6-2000 for Voluntary retirement under Rule 48 (1) (a) of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 and under Voluntary Retirement Scheme.

And whereas Dr. K. N. Dabale's request was under consideration and the same has been accepted with effect from 1-11-2000 (F. N.).

Now, therefore, the Governor of Goa in his capacity as the appointing authority hereby accepts the said notice and directs that Dr. K. N. Dabale, Medical Officer, Community Health Centre, Valpoi under Directorate of Health Services, shall stand retired with effect from 1-11-2000 (F.N.).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*T. J. Faleiro*, Joint Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 19th October, 2000.

**Order**

No. 25/5/2000-I/PHD

Whereas Dr. Ashok A. Vaga, Health Officer, Primary Health Centre, Betki under Directorate of Health Services has given 3 months notice dated 1-8-2000 for Voluntary retirement under Rule 48 (1) (a) of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 and under Voluntary Retirement Scheme.

And whereas Dr. Ashok A. Vaga has applied that the notice of his Voluntary Retirement be accepted with effect from 1-11-2000 (F.N.).

Now, therefore, the Governor of Goa in his capacity as the appointing authority hereby accepts the said notice and directs that Dr. Ashok A. Vaga, Health Officer, Primary Health Centre, Betki under the Directorate of Health Services, shall stand retired with effect from 1-11-2000 (F.N.).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*T. J. Faleiro*, Joint Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 19th October, 2000.

◆◆◆

**Department of Revenue****Notification**

No. 22/105/2000-RD.

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Construction of road from Duncolim to Murida Pequeno under V. P. Seraulim and Nuvem.

Now, Therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector(L.A.) Margao to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao.
2. The Deputy Collector(L.A.), Margao.
3. The Executive Engineer, Div. VI (R&B), PWD, Fatorda, Margao.
4. The Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector(L.A.) Margao for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

#### SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Salcete.		Village: Nuvem.
Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts
1	2	3
119/6	O: Assumsao Fernandes.	10
119/11	O: Pascoal Rosario Martins.	90
119/2	O: Joao S. Mascarenhas.	40
119/3	O: Jorja Carvalho.	150
119/4	O: 1) Andre Francisco Xavier Dias. 2) Caetano Manuel Dias. 3) Jose Cruz Dias.	450
119/12	O: Agostinho Pacheco.	175
119/8	O: Comunidade of Margao.	25
119/9	O: Mauricio Rosario Dias.	225
119/5	O: Rosa Jackina D'Costa.	225
114/1	O: Menino Pereira.	375
114/2	O: Comunidade of Margao.	20
114/3	O: Dr. Luis Santos Alvares.	125
114/4	O: Comunidade of Margao.	220
114/10	O: Menino Francisco Pacheco.	30
114/11	O: Berta Cardozo.	100
114/5	O: Piedade Gomes.	270
114/6	O: Antonio Maria Gomes.	210
114/7	O: Agostinho B. Gomes.	200
114/8	O: Jose Camilo Gomes.	500
114/9	O: Telma V. e Colaco.	400
113/1	O: Lourdina C. Fernandes.	200
113/5	O: Conceicao Fernandes.	40
113/6	O: Shamsunder Harishchandra Kenaudekar.	140
113/3	O: Maria Piedade P. Dias Coutinho Figueiredo.	360
112/3	O: Maria Amalia de Abreu e Pinto.	375
112/4	O: Maria P.P. Dias Coutinho.	875
112/1	O: Rosario Xavier Fernandes.	50
112/9	O: Menino Francisco Fernandes.	40
112/5	O: Martins Piedade Fernandes.	200
112/10	O: Marcelina Colaco.	175
112/6	O: Dulcina Carvalho.	500
110/1	O: Palmira Filomena A. Alvaris Pinto.	800

1	2	3
Taluka: Salcete		Village: Duncolim
26/1	O: 1) Anastasio Gonsalves. 2) Balsio Gonsalves. 3) Conceicao D'Costa. 4) Apolinasio Andrade. 5) Mary Andrade.	300
26/2	O: Paxiao Fernandes.	175
26/5	O: Antonio Fernandes.	250
Taluka: Salcete		Village: Nuvem
117/1	O: Antonio Correia.	250
117/2	O: Paulin Fernandes.	255
117/3	O: Joao Francisco Santana Filomena Dias.	156
116/7	O: Ligorio de Costa Furtado.	275
116/8	O: 1) Proto Maria Soares. 2) Maria Fernanda Conceicao de Azavedo Silveira e Soares. 3) Shri Raghuvir H. Bandodkar.	275

#### Boundaries:

North:	S.No. 119/2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9. S.No. 114/1, 2, 3. S.No. 106/39, 41, 4, 42, 38, 7, 8, 9, 28, 30, 20, 32. S.No. 112/4, 5, 6. S.No. 110/1.
South:	S.No. 119/11, 12, 4, 8, 9, 5. S.No. 114/2, 1, 10, 11, 5, 6, 7. S.No. 112/3, 8, 9, 10, 6. S.No. 110/1 and Nala.
East:	S. No. 114/9, 113/2&3, 112/4, 110/1 and Nala.
West:	S. No. 114/8, 113/1, 5, 6&3, 112/3&8 and Nala.
North:	Village Nuvem.
South:	S. No. 26/3.
East:	S. No. 26/2 & 5
West:	S. No. 26/1.
North:	S. No. 117/1, 2.
South:	S. N. 117/2.
East:	S. No. 117/2.
West:	S. No. 117/1.
North:	S. No. 116/7.
South:	S. No. 116/8.
East:	S. No. 112/1.
West:	S. No. 116/7 & 8.

Total ..... 9531

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sanjiv Gadkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 27th October, 2000.

GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS,

PANAJI-GOA  
PRICE: Rs. 4.00